

#### CORRECTIONS CAREERS

## EUROPEAN CAREER COUNSELLING GUIDELINES FOR STAFF WORKING IN CRIMINAL CORRECTIONAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

# WP2

# 2.3 STRUCTURED PUBLIC HEARING





Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union





Gathering intelligence about the future of CCJ Careers IPS\_Innovative Prison Systems March, 2021

option



Ângela Fernandes: <u>Angela.Fernandes@prisonsystems.eu</u> Catarina Abrunhosa: <u>Catarina.Abrunhosa@prisonsystems.eu</u> Tiago Leitão: <u>Tiago.Leitao@prisonsystems.eu</u>



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



#### Content

Introduction to Portuguese Context	
Report from Portuguese Survey	
Participants	
Results	9
Conclusion	
Next steps	
Preparation Activities	
Workshop brief description	
Main discussions	
Conclusions and proposals	
Workshop Evaluation	
Communication	
Pictures of the workshops	
Annexes	
Annexe 1 Agenda	
Annexe 2 – list of participants	
Annexe 3-Presentation	
Questions List	





#### **Introduction to Portuguese Context**

Education: In Portugal, when applying for the prison officer's competition, candidates must have high school education completed (at least), have Portuguese nationality, have 21 years old and have no criminal record. Candidates undertake several tests and evaluations to enter the Prison Officers Initial Training Course, namely: physical and theoretical knowledge tests, medical examinations and Psychological assessments (Decree-Law no. 3/2014, article 36<sup>o</sup>)<sup>1</sup>.

Training: In Portugal, the initial Training provided to prison officers has a nine-months duration: six months of Training comprising theoretical and practical contents on penal and prison legislation, human rights, English, communication, interaction with inmates, ICT, security, video surveillance and telecommunications, personal defence, health, communicable diseases and first aid, psychopathology, criminology, criminal profiling, among others; and three months Training comprising the real work context component, allowing trainees to contact with the requirements anddemands of the job and the application of knowledge to specific situations for solving problems, within the scope of professional activity (Ordinance nº. 159/2017)<sup>2</sup>.

Prison officer status: There are two careers within the scope of Prison Officers legislation; one incorporates management functions/roles, and the other a more operational dimension. With public security functions, prison officers are arranged in a hierarchical order. This division and the definition of the functional contents of the different categories are essential for the Prison Officer to respond more adequately and effectively to the requirements of the current prison system. The prison officers with public security functions are grouped in a descending hierarchy order. (Decree-law no. 3/2014)<sup>3</sup>.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Decree-Law no. 3/2014. Accesible here: <u>https://dre.pt/pesquisa/-/search/571052/details/maximized</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ordinance nº159/2017. Accessible here: <u>https://dre.pt/pesquisa/-/search/108016472/details/maximized</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Decree-law nº3/2014. Accessible here: <u>https://dre.pt/pesquisa/-/search/571052/details/maximized</u>



Overview of career guidance policy developments: The Portuguese Legislation foresees that one unit is responsible for Training and professional development within the Portuguese Prison and Probation Services: The Directorate of Human Resources. This Directorate encompasses three divisions: 1) Human Resources Management Division; 2) Personnel Administration and Remuneration Processing Division; 3) Training Division. The Human Resources Management Division, among other duties, is responsible for promoting, monitorisation and implementing performance evaluation systems and preparing internal training contents. On the other hand, the Training Division is responsible: for the identification of the training needs and professional improvement; to propose and implement human resources development policies concerning initial and continuing Training, namely those resulting from activity plans or change processes; to define and assess the of training methodologies and professional development actions on staff's productivity and services provided, also promoting the use of alternative training methods (e.g., e-learning); to disseminate training actions and to ensure the procedures related to registration, attendance control and certification; to prepare the annual training activity report, among others (Order no. 8140-B/2019<sup>4</sup>). This training plan is developed biannually and distributes Training in nine main areas<sup>5</sup>:

• Area 1: Execution of Sentences and custodial measures – within this first area, Training is more focused on penitentiary legislation and inmate's processes management (aiming a better systematisation of procedures within this area);

• Area 2: Execution of Sentences and Alternative Measures and Electronic Monitoring – within this second area, Training aims to enhance the case management model followed by The Portuguese Prison and Probation Services, based on the RNR principles, LS/CMI and Motivational Interview. Concerning electronic monitoring teams, Training focuses on communication skills and relationship management with the offender to prevent conflict and the escalation of violence;

• Area 3: **Execution of punitive-educational measures**, where Training focuses on three essential aspects: the need to update both on the regulations of the



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Order no. 8140-B/2019. Accessible here: <u>https://dre.pt/home/-/dre/124716436/details/maximized</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Retrieved from The Portuguese Prison and Probation Services 2018-2019 Training Plan. Accesible here: https://dre.pt/application/conteudo/124716436



Educational Centres; the used assessment tools and the promotion of the general improvement and consistency of technical intervention;

• Area 4: Security and prison behaviour – where Training focuses on tackling the prison officer's training needs;

• Area 5: **Prison Treatment, Rehabilitation, Health and Programmes** – where Training focuses on the qualification of deputies and senior technical staff on penitentiary treatment, the application of risk assessment tools and methodologies in prison settings;

• Area 6: **Criminology and Law** – where Training focuses on the promotion of a training offer with an academic profile, with two main objectives: 1) to tackle the needs of developing and updating knowledge in the legal and criminological fields; 2) to disseminate and to promote the exchange of knowledge and experiences resulting from their academic or research path;

Area 7: Administration and Management of Human and Financial Resources
where Training focuses on public administration, staff management, public employment, and HR/personnel development;

• Area 8: **ITC and Communication Systems** – where Training focuses on tackling the needs regarding the user's automation of information;

• Area 9: Initial Training and Admission – Training focuses on two brief courses for new Probation Officers and an Initial training course for prison officers.

Furthermore, a mandatory performance evaluation (i.e. self-assessment and hetero-assessment) occurs for the following categories: 1) public services, 2) public administration directors, and 3) public administration workers, by using the Integrated Management and Performance Evaluation System in Public Administration (SIADAP)<sup>6</sup>. This evaluation system encompasses three subsystems that are

<sup>6</sup> Law nº66-B/2007. Accessible here: https://dre.pt/web/guest/legislacao-consolidada/-/lc/34446375/view?w=2012-12-31





directly related to the abovementioned categories. Thus, every year, SIADAP 1 is applied to evaluate the performance of public services. SIADAP 2 aims to assess the public administration directors' performance and is applied every three or five years (five years for senior managers and three years for middle managers) according to the service commission's duration. Lastly, SIADAP 3, which is the one most relevant for our research object, is applied to public administration workers every two years (concerning the performance of the two preceding years) and encompasses the following elements: 1) academic and professional qualifications; 2) Professional experience; 3) Curricular valorisation; 4) Performance of managerial positions/coordination or other positions or functions or recognised public interest or relevant social interest (Law nº 66-B/2007)<sup>7</sup>. According to the article 52ºof the Law, nº 66-B/2007 this performance assessment aims the following: 1) to identify the worker's personal and professional skills that need development, 2) to perform a training needs diagnosis, 3) to identify the professional competencies, skills and behaviours that need improvement; 4) to improve the workplace and associated processes; 5) to revise the worker's adjustments regarding career progression and salary positioning, and to assign performance bonuses, under the terms of the applicable legislation. Thus, and based on article 54º of the aforementioned Law, this performance evaluation system should identify the worker's potential for evolution and development and the diagnosis of the respective training needs that should be considered in each service's annual training plan.

According to the Law nº 66-B/2007, the SIADAP (and its subsystems) articulates with the Ministry's planning system, being an evaluation tool for each Directorate multi-annual strategic objectives, annual objectives, activity plans and training plan. Despite the annual development of objectives and plans for each Directorate, and as mentioned before, the correspondent assessment, in terms of periodicity, varies from SIADAP 1, SIADAP 2 and SIADAP 3. However, this preconised assessment is not focused on the correspondent current year but in the preceding years.

7 Law nº66-B/2007. Accessible here: <u>https://dre.pt/pesquisa/-</u> /search/227271/details/normal?g=Lei+n.%C2%BA%2066-B%2F2007%2C%20de+28+de+dezembro





#### Report from Portuguese Survey

**Participants** 

There was a total of 118 participants, including 90,68% prison officers (n=107), and 9,32% probation officers (n=10). Figure 1 indicates the years of work of the participant's current positions.




Figure 1. Years of work in the current position



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



#### Results

For most participants, 64,41% (n=76), this is the only career they had, with 71 participants (60,17%) mentioning not being satisfied with the work. Also, and in line with the expressed, 96, 52% of the participants (n=111) considers that their salary is not fair (pondering the type, the time and intensity of work that needs to be done). We can see that in the barometer in figure 2.




Figure 2. Is your salary consistent with your duties? (n=111)

The participants present high demotivation levels when performing their roles and jobs, as shown in figure 3.



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union






Figure 3. Motivation to perform duties

In accumulation with high levels of demotivation and wage satisfaction, the participants mentioned three main reasons prison officers quit their jobs; they are: lack of professional development; low income; and lack of training, followed by work in shifts, and insufficient vacations.

# The 3 main reasons to quit the job are (*n*=105):




Figure 4.Main reasons prison officer quit (n=105)



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



When asked about their job's risk, the prison officers mentioned various risks. We decided to group them in terms of context and significance (figure 5): physical health/burnout; security risks, Attacks/Aggression; Lack of Staff; Bad leadership.





Figure 5. The most frequently mentioned main risks are (n=105)

Alongside this data, most participants think that their work does not provide enough training and education to perform the tasks and job (figure 6).





And for this reason, career training is almost non-existent, with annual reviews that don't translate into practical activities. There is no mentorship in the professional environment to help the professional deal and cope with the difficulties encountered when performing the job. We can see this information on a visual level in figure 7, with a barometer of achievement and the number of participants who refer to the existence of those conditions.



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union





Figure 7. Visual barometer

Nonetheless, all the constraints, the participants consider their job a public role (with a mission to ensure society's safety) and a profession (like any other). Still, they do not consider their job a career, where they can progress and be stable professionally (figure 8).




Figure 8. When I go to work, I consider it to be (n=105)

#### Conclusion

This survey's objective was to gather information about the future of CCJ careers and why/how guidance is needed and necessary to be set in place.





We were able to gather information on policy and strategy's critical issues are being considered for career guidance in CCJ careers (what a favourable and an unfavourable outcome is). The future workshops designed to be delivered this month (February) will shed light on the key operational, structural, and cultural challenges that need to be made to deliver the expected outcome.

From this survey, we were able to identify the significant difficulties in assessing training and education to perform the tasks and job, and the problem in a proactive leadership between professionals, to better fulfil the job ahead of them.

We were also able to identify the need to manage a career in the learning prison context, mental awareness (when the participants mentioned stress, security issues and burnout), and difficulty communicating and dialogue in the multicultural context.

We were able to identify the inexistence of a tool for self-assessment of competencies, leading to a personal development planning tool.



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



#### Next steps

For this purpose, the public structure hearings (in the form of 2-hour workshops) will be highly relevant.

Suppose we want to compare how certain types of people talk about CCJ careers. In that case, we must separate them into different groups (guards and technical staff, probation officers, and research specialists in this field). That way, we can analyse across the correctional officers' group, theprobation officer that work directly with the prisons and /or community and the research specialists, and then compare the findings. If we mix them in the same group, it would be more difficult to analyse based on experience on the subject.

We are dividing the groups based on characteristics to create a more comfortable environment for participants to share. Strengthen the 'information harvesting' results in WP2 to give us even more robust data to base the rest of our project. It will underline the input's importance to enhance the outcomes, especially the quality of careers for prison staff and their support. The questions to be discussed in the online meeting have been prepared with the questionnaires' results and the country reports (national policy context) in mind.

For this purpose, we are in the phase of conducting a Structured Public Hearing, to look for the range of opinions, perceptions, ideas, or feelings that people have about career guidance in CCJ careers. We understand that may differ in groups' perspectives (in this case, different correctional criminal justice professionals – guards and technical staff, probation officers and research specialists in this field). People in decision-making positions may see a situation or issue differently than those who are not, and top management often sees issues differently than frontline providers.

Develop a profile of competencies (complex cartography of skills, behaviours, and attitudes) needed to support career management, interactive tool to help users navigate the profile, self-assess, obtain development solutions, and support personalised learning pathways to strengthen the competencies.

There will be held **three groups according to the participants' previous distributions**. Each group will last, at a **maximum of 2 hours**. This time definition concerns the participants' involvement, who can





participate in a short workshop and provide input to the project, at the expense of more extended participation in which they could not attend. Also, the pandemic context that we live in does not allow a presential workshop, so the meeting will be held virtually through one platform (to be decided according to the participants' availability).

#### **Preparation Activities**

In the stage of preparation for the workshop, the project team from IPS\_Innovative prison Systems established the list with potential participants to the meeting and send them an invitation for collaboration with a short description of the project "European Career Counselling Guidelines forStaff Working in Criminal Correctional Justice System" on **the 12th of February of 2021**, to attend a virtual zoom meeting in *the 4th of March 2021*.

The project team analysed the preliminary questionnaires' results, and the *PowerPoint* presentation was prepared to be presented to the workshop.

To conduct the workshop, the hosting organisation team prepared and sent on 19/02/2021 the invitation with a short description of the project's agenda. Something so that participants know what to expect. The project's introduction, what this activity is, and what we will do with this discussion results.

Because the workshop was planned in an online format, the time was more limited, and the communication had to consider the particularities of digital communication. Considering these aspects and improving the channels of communication and data collection, we asked to record the session.





#### Workshop brief description

Taking into consideration the pandemic context that we live in, the workshop was organised in an online format using the **platform Zoom**, **with three different meeting links and hours**, from **09h00-11h00 (academic experts)**, from **14h00-16h00**, **(unions and Governmental organisations)**; from **16h00-18h00 (probation professionals (prison staff, and community probationers)**.

The workshop's objective was gathering national intelligence about the future of CCJ careers and why/how guidance is needed and necessary to be set in place.

The workshop was focused on seven broad areas:

- The critical issues for the policy and strategy area being considered for career guidance in CCJ.
- What a favourable outcome is.
- What an unfavourable outcome is.
- Critical operational, structural, and cultural changes need to be made to deliver a favourable outcome.
- Lessons from the past.
- Decisions that must be prioritised.
- What the participant would do if (s)he had absolute authority.

The workshop was attended by 4 participants (one academic, one from Union, and two correctional staff).

#### Main discussions

The moderator **Ângela Fernandes** (set some ground rules; warm-up; introductory questions; guided questions, etc.), concluding the workshop's question and conclusion.





#### **Conclusions and proposals**

After the 4<sup>th</sup> of March, when the project team captured ideas from the workshop discussion and received the participants answers to the question list, the outcome was centralised and presented in the next table.

Question	s	Outcomes of this discussion and according to written answers of participants.		ritten an <mark>swers of</mark>
	-	Academics	Union/Governmental Institutions	Probationers and prison staff
ls your consistent your duties?	salary with	Area of investigation: development of career and vocational career, in prisoners. It implies understanding the context of work: a demanding and	At the end of 2019, professional social reinsertion technicians, senior social reinsertion technicians and senior re-educations technicians,	The salary is always the same since I joined. If they really pay me for the work, I do I'd also say that they don't.
		generally qualified job. Workers' dissatisfaction with work conditions in general about lack of staff, what is reflected in wage compensation. If they (the government) are unable to improve the work environment, unless they need to improve their salary and make it match the tasks.	integrated in the different Organic Units of the DGRSP, performed their duties. These technicians perform functions of paramount importance, critical to the maintenance of Constitutional order through the prevention of crime and social integration of adults and young offenders or those at risk of crime,	I practice a position with responsibility and in comparison, with other functions I do not earn differently. Fair wages in a pandemic situation would be different. I do not consider that it is entirely underpaid, even if some salary is supplemented by Extras, which is what leads me to



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



		functions that are of special complexity and demand.	answer that I do not agree with the bad salary.
		And their salary has	In fact, in relation
		not been updated	to what is
		ever since.	required of the
			prison guard
			corps it is not underpaid.
			I think it reflects
			lacks progression
			of careers that is
			a bit of an echo
			of the successive
			crises that the
			country is going through.
I avoid tellingpeople	Engaging in the sense	Often, the	In an open
	that it may be more	professional does not	establishment
I work in prisons?	intense than what it	wish to say where he	and with visibility
	mirrors.	works for safety	to the outside,
		reasons or in other	there is no
	A matter of more than	cases, he intends	problem saying
	Prejudice of protection,	without Prejudice to	that I work in a
	personnel security, and	say what his	prison
	to avoid the pressure of	profession is.	e <mark>st</mark> ablishment
	peers and others.		because we are
		Y.	also an example for the outside
		/	and are well
			regarded.
			I believe that
			there are some
			who cannot have
			a good image and
			who can take the
			refusal to say that
			they work in a





			prison.
			l was never
			ashamed of the
			profession, but I
			know that there
			is an associated
			stigma.
			I confess that at
			the beginning
			they showed
			some surprise for
			being a female
			and young prison
			guard When I
			entered.
			In my day-to-day
			life I try and if I
			am in uniform to
			send a message
			of
			professionalism
			and age so that I
			and people lose
			the stigma
			associated with
			prison guards.
			lt is unf <mark>ortunate</mark>
		/	that there are
			people with this
			shame And with
			this stigma to
			describe and
When I go to work,	Is it a job—a profession	They provide technical	saying their roles. It is difficult to
-	with specificity, like		answer at this
I consider it to be	many other jobs that	advice to courts with	point, but I
	enter the social domain	a high degree of	consider it a
	(security forces).	qualification and	profession.
		responsibility in risk	F. C.









			rehabilitate themselves. I confess that I do not see it as a mission. It is very individualised. It is a career. But there is no prospect of it. There was a contest 15 years ago, never again. Even though the legislation points to a correctional service, the Portuguese context is still very penitentiary. Perhaps the mission would be achieved if there were the resources (of social, human, and economic
I think that my organisation	There is no training. Was it important to know how many	For this reason, there is a need to create a body of employees	structure). We are not given the tools, and the demands are
provides me with a good education and	Training they had during their career time and on what topics?	who master the technical knowledge,	made without the resources to do so.
professional competences to perform my job?	Often Training is carried out without any meaning that is not	experience, and training necessary to pursue the ends assigned functionally	There is no training. Or access to
	reflected in the trainees' functions and	in the framework of the staff performing	Training.





needs.	functions in the Directorate-General for Social Reintegration and	I must take time off on vacation to be able to get Training.
	Prison Services.	There are annual training maps (for prison guards and administrative staff) that later for various reasons (lack of means, resources, ect) end up not being completed. And when they are disseminated, they reach a reduced target population for those who are in need.
		Since 2007, where I am working, I have participated in 3 training actions, it is very few in face of the reality of numbers that we have.
		The professional entity does not help with Training. All the Training I did was out of service, but the service benefits from the





			same Training, because I have the credentials for that, and the entity applied for financing with my credentials. Lack of will. Fear that people will evolve in the system. There is no good training in this regard.
Career guidance in	What is career	However, the	I do not believe
basic Training.	counselling, in the first place, for them? The concept is dubious, and the answer can be influenced, as none of this is career counselling. This is the preparation to take place. Unfortunately, in Portugal, Career advice is called a lot that is not really career advice. Career counselling for the job market process can be one of the points to address.	respective professional career has not been revised to date, nor regulated as a remarkable career in the scope of Public Administration, although the need for this regulation stems from the Law and has been repeatedly recognised by the Ministry of Justice, being not only a claim of these professionals, as a necessity due to	there is any career advice at all. The focus was on Training to end as quickly as possible to work, acquire knowledge (such as decorating and debiting), and move on to the next one. Focus on the final classification and there is no career guidance. As a probationer,
	Despite calling in Career counselling This is not what they are doing. What confirms the care in the elaboration of	their specificity. SinDGRSP understands that it is of the most elementary justice that the legislative proposal for the	I had informal Training, and I had a probationer who supported me, and I was always accompanied with him,





	the questionnaire and	creation of the unique	informal
	in the questions that are asked.	career of reinsertion technician of the	mentoring. It was not something designed and
	There is a lot of confusion in career counselling. And career counselling is confused with career development, with professional placement which are different things.	General Directorate of Reinsertion and Prison Services (DGRSP).	structured by the entity.
	I think that here we talk more about career development. When I talk about this confusion in international terms, there is also this misunderstanding.		
I have an annual review.	The annual evaluation is essential, but what they gain from it, often in the public service, nothing is gained from it, as it does not allow them to move up the ladder of progress in their careers. Evaluation Does not add anything	Annual assessment is carried out; the requirements are defined; however, there is no impact on the evolutionary career of the professional who works.	The evaluation is partial; it depends on who evaluates, It is very unfair. There are limits on grades, but it must be done, and you have to try to reward those who work, which does not always happen, so it is not always fair.
			The question of





I have a mentor.	It is essential, and only 13 mentioned having a mentor.	There is no formal mentor person.	the annual evaluation has always been a battle for everyone (even before touching people's pockets - the level of money) because it does not reflect later on in the career progression and depends a lot on who the supervisor is, who does it and if I get along with him or not, regardless of the criteria being established. Informal mentoring, or none.
		What exists is informal mentoring for the introduction to your task or your job.	
The most	Interesting risks	They travel to the	Rearranging the
frequently	mentioned.	places where	order of the risks:
mentioned risks	This result is	electronic surveillance is carried out, in cases	Lack of staff and
are:	entertaining and can	of confinement in the	poor leadership.
	also help to explain everything else.	home and removal of	
	Aggression Security is all interconnected. We could make a gigantic Cluster.	victims, being the first line of intervention in crisis situations, ensuring the monitoring and psychosocial	Lack of staff reflected in safety and physical health / burnout.





	All these risks It translates because they consider they job a mission. And all the dissatisfaction around it and how it can be balanced? Poor leadership has very big implications for their career development and career management. For me it is also an expression of career dissatisfaction and those responsible for it. Do not feel protected by the leaders.	monitoring of the guarded. It is important to highlight the permanent availability for the provision of work at any time and on any day, whenever requested, and the special risk inherent to the nature of the activities and tasks concretely committed.	It would change the order (it would be security, attacks and aggressions first, and then the others) that then lead to situations of burnout.	
	It is interesting to see these studies being complemented by more qualitative studies. This can be explained by the answers they gave in avoiding saying that I work in social reintegration/prison guard, as they are interconnected. And also, for the associated stigma.			
The three main reasons to quit the	It reinforces everything that was said above:	Lack of Career Recognition Lack of	I agree with the three of them,	
job. Are:	Difficult working conditions, poorly paid, and lack of Training reinforce everything	Career Prospects cause internal mobility to be too high for each service and for each	and if I left, I pointed out the lack of staff. I feel alone at work and there are no	





that has	been said	profession.	conditions, the
	nd once again	- F. S	lack of career
	he absence of	In addition, this	prospects in
a new ar		internal mobility is	terms of
	nted career	often dubious.	probationers
developr		Although the Law is	leads me
produce	-	clear.	nowhere.
The prob	olem is in	What makes the	
working	conditions and	professional who	100% lack of
lack of p	rospects.	wishes to change	career
		careers have between	perspective, since
Perhaps	they feel	them and the position	2002, I never had
abandon	ed. The feeling	they want to acquire a	the chance to
I have of	these data in	lot of obstacles.	apply for a
general i	s that they feel		leadership course
abandon	ed.	They feel abandon <mark>ed</mark>	because when
		by the legislator at the	opened one back
People fe	eel little	time of the merger.	in 2005 I still
support:	they are not	That until today has	didn't have
recognis	ed in career	not solved his	enough time to
developr	ment: which is	situation or legislation	apply and they
different	from career	on his job, Despite the	haven't opened it
manager	nent. There	constant requests and	since then.
are no pi	rospects for	the constant struggles	
career de	evelopment,	in cited by Unions.	There is no career
underpa	id and no care		prospect.
with Trai	ning and		
educatio	n.		
	iment by		
supervise	ors.	/	





#### **Workshop Evaluation**


For the workshop's evaluation, the Innovative Prison System project team prepared an online survey and invited all participants to answer. The questions were:





Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union




























You want to add something?

Thank you for your participation and involvement.



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union





#### Communication

The workshop was in Portuguese Language.

During the event, the member from Innovative Prison Systems project team Ângela

Fernandes presented the next power point materials:




#### Pictures of the workshops



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union









#### Annexes

#### Annexe 1 Agenda

Introduction of the facilitators (5 minutes)

Introduction of the project (5 minutes)

Presentation of the data and discussion (50 minutes)

Questions and conclusions (5 minutes)

#### Annexe 2 – list of participants

Ask to put the name and organisation in the chat: we took a print screen and there are the registry of presences.

Paulo Cardoso – Universty of Évora- School of Sciences

Mário Melo Barroso – Union - Sindicato dos técnicos da Direcção-Geral de Reinserção e Serviços Prisionais

António Padrão- Técnico Superior- estabelecimento Prisional de Izeda Bragança Correctional facility

Lina Caetano – Guarda prisional – Santa cruz do Bispo-feminino- correcional facility. Peace missio in South Sudan





#### **Annexe 3-Presentation**






Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union





#### **Objetivos do Projeto**

- <u>Corrections Careers</u> é um projeto financiado pela União Europeia, que analisa a forma como podemos melhorar a orientação de carreira dada aos profissionais que trabalham na linha da frente das prisões e os técnicos de reinserção social.
- O projeto está a decorrer nas prisões de seis Estados-membros Europeus. Perguntamos aos agentes prisionais, técnicos de reinserção social, aos seus gestores, e a decisores o que poderíamos fazer para apoiar melhor as suas carreiras no sistema prisional.





Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union
















Resultados dos questionários de PORTUGAL.



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union





Não

questionários PORTUGAL.



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union


Uma missão

📕 Uma carre

sim

Profissão

Outro







CORRECTIONS





Os riscos mais mencionados foram

(*n*=105):



Resultados dos questionários de PORTUGAL.





Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union







Ângela Fernandes: <u>Angela.Fernandes@prisonsystems.eu</u>

Catarina Abrunhosa: Catarina. Abrunhosa@prisonsystems.eu

Tiago Leitão: Tiago.Leitao@prisonsystems.eu



#### **Questions List**

The questions were the same as the questionnaire, and we only asked them to elaborate on them to better understand the reasoning behind their answers.



### www.careersincorrections.com

CPIP to your devote	<b>Center for Promoting Lifelong Learning</b> www.cpip.ro Daiana Huber - daiana.huber@cpip.ro
	<b>Timisoara Penitentiary</b> www.anp.gov.ro/penitenciarul-timisoara Cristina Busuioc - cristina.busuioc@anp.gov.ro
SNPP	The National Trade Union of Prison Policemen (SNPP) www.snpp.ro Adrian Neagoe - snpp@snpp.ro
York Associates	York Associates International Ltd www.york-associates.co.uk Dale Coulter - dale.coulter@york-associates.co.uk
Die Senatorio Be Junito del Vertasune Proio Bromon	<b>Bremen Ministry of Justice</b> www.justiz.bremen.de Torben Adams - torben.adams@justiz.bremen.de
Bette Education Technology Invitude	Baltic Education Technology Institute (BETI) www.beti.lt Greta Volodzkaitė - greta.volodzkaite@beti.lt
	<b>IPS Innovative Prison Systems</b> www.prisonsystems.eu Tiago Leitão - tiago.leitao@prisonsystems.eu
	International Centre for the Promotion of Education and Development www.ceipes.org Musa Kirkar - kirkar@ceipes.org
International Corrections & Prisons Association	International Corrections and Prisons Association www.icpa.org Gary Hill - garyhill@cegaservices.com
	General Director and Prison and Detention Houses www.cte.adalet.gov.tr Ezgi Çetintürk - cetinturkezgi@gmail.com
BRAIN.OG	<b>BrainLog</b> www.brainlog-ngo.com Martin Savchev - martin.s@brainlog-ngo.com

